CONEXUSA

Validating LLM-generated SQL code



Texas Data Day 2025

A mathematical approach $A = \Pi \vdash A \vdash \Sigma \dashv A \dashv \Pi \vdash A \vdash \Sigma \dashv A$

How do we know that LLM-generated code is correct?

LLM-generated code is becoming more prevalent.

Having humans examine/test LLM-generated code precludes full automation.

Having LLM-generated tests/examiners "begs the question".

What can we do? For SQL et al, we can use algorithms to prove that SQL code will respect data integrity.

"Given a set of tables with primary and foreign keys, will this SQL code will populate a new set of tables with primary and foreign keys without throwing an error?" Just adding more RAG is like insisting we don't need seatbelts, just safer drivers!

Outline:

- Describe results of an LLM-competition, indicating which errors are statically detectable
- Describe a new SQL verification technology, http://sql.ai
- Describe a fully automated LLM-generating SQL case study from the US military
- Describe query migration, a new capability for composing SQL code
- Seeking community engagement, please email me at ryan@conexus.com



Part 1: LLM Wars Joint work with



Kato Steven Mubiru

kato.steven60@gmail.com linkedin.com/in/katostevenmubiru/



Glorry Sibomana

glorrysibomana758@gmail.com linkedin.com/in/glorry-sibomana/



Julius Hamilton

juliushamilton100@gmail.com linkedin.com/in/julius-hamilton-806944228 CREATE TABLE <u>humans</u> (<u>name</u> varchar PRIMARY KEY, <u>mom</u> varchar FOREIGN KEY (<u>mom</u>) REFERENCES <u>humans</u> (<u>name</u>));

```
CREATE TABLE people (id varchar PRIMARY KEY);
```

CREATE TABLE <u>parents</u> (<u>id</u> varchar PRIMARY KEY, <u>parent_id</u> varchar NOT NULL, FOREIGN KEY (<u>parent id</u>) REFERENCES <u>people</u> (<u>id</u>));

CREATE TABLE gparents (<u>id</u> varchar PRIMARY KEY, <u>parent_id</u> varchar NOT NULL, <u>gparent_id</u> varchar NOT NULL, FOREIGN KEY (<u>parent_id</u>) REFERENCES <u>people</u> (<u>id</u>), FOREIGN KEY (<u>gparent_id</u>) REFERENCES <u>people</u> (<u>id</u>));

```
CREATE TABLE <u>ggparents</u> (

<u>id</u> varchar PRIMARY KEY,

<u>parent_id</u> varchar NOT NULL,

<u>gqparent_id</u> varchar NOT NULL,

<u>gqparent_id</u> varchar NOT NULL,

FOREIGN KEY (<u>parent_id</u>) REFERENCES <u>people</u> (<u>id</u>),

FOREIGN KEY (<u>gqparent_id</u>) REFERENCES <u>people</u> (<u>id</u>),

FOREIGN KEY (<u>gqparent_id</u>) REFERENCES <u>people</u> (<u>id</u>);
```

We asked 48 LLMs to unroll a single table with a cyclic foreign key into four tables connected by foreign keys.



For example...

Correct Answer

CREATE TABLE <u>humans</u> (<u>name</u> varchar PRIMARY KEY,

mor			mom)
	<u>name</u>	mom	;
	alice	barbara	
	brenda	claire	
	barbara	claire	
	claire	debbie	
	debbie	NULL	

INSERT INTO people (id) SELECT h.name FROM humans AS h;

INSERT INTO parents (id, parent_id) SELECT h.name, h.mom FROM humans AS h WHERE h.mom IS NOT NULL;

INSERT INTO gparents (id, parent_id, gparent_id) SELECT h1.name, h1.mom, h2.mom FROM humans AS h1, humans AS h2 WHERE h1.mom = h2.name AND h2.mom IS NOT NULL;

INSERT INTO ggparents
(id, parent_id, gparent_id, ggparent_id)
SELECT h1.name, h1.mom, h2.mom, h3.mom
FROM humans AS h1, humans AS h2,
humans AS h3 WHERE h1.mom = h2.name AND
h2.mom = h3.name AND h3.mom IS NOT NULL;



LLM prompt specifics

- LLMs were given schema definitions
- LLMs were not given example data
- LLMs were asked not to generate comments or commentary
- LLMs were asked to use the simplest SQL possible
- LLMs were asked to populate each target table independently

The prompt and full experimental data will be made available, along with a blog post and this presentation, after Texas Data Day. You are a helpful AI assistant tasked with generating **plain SQL code** for data migration.

Task:

Write SQL queries to **populate the destination (target) tables from the source tables**, ensuring data integrity. The SQL code must **only** pull data from source tables and insert it into target tables, without mixing source and target tables in the same query.

Important Instructions:

- 1. **One `INSERT INTO` Statement per Target Table:**
- Write one 'INSERT INTO' statement for each target table.

- Use a simple `SELECT FROM WHERE' from **source tables only** to populate the target table.

2. **Source-Only Data for Target Tables:**

Do NOT join source tables with target tables.

- All data for target tables must come directly from source tables without intermediary steps involving other target tables.

3. **Fully Qualified Column Names with Aliases:**

- Fully qualify column names with table names in the format `table_name.column_name`.
- Always use table aliases (e.g., 'FROM SourceTable AS s').

4. **Keep SQL Simple:**

- Avoid subqueries, or advanced SQL features. Do not use aggregation, 'GROUP BY', 'AVG', 'MIN', 'MAX', 'ORDER BY', 'CASE', 'COUNT', 'UPDATE', 'SET

- Use basic SQL operations: equality comparisons (='), 'AND'/OR'/NOT', 'IS NULL'
- Prefer `FROM` syntax to `JOIN ON` syntax

5. **No Comments, Markdown, or Extra Formatting:**

- **Do NOT include any comments** (e.g., no `--` or `/* ... */ lines).
- **Do NOT include any markdown formatting** (e.g., no backticks or triple backticks).
- Output **plain text SQL only**, without any extra symbols, explanations, or formatting.

6. **Output Format:**

- Provide SQL code ** ready to run** as plain text.
- **Do NOT include** explanations, comments, or any markdown symbols.

LLM Wars Findings

Some LLMs insist on adding comments.(16%, 8/48)

- We removed comments from these responses and continued.
- Source vs target errors were ignored too

No syntax errors,

- but a tiny model did echo back the input schema definitions (1/48).

Four schema errors (bad column names) (8%, 4/48). Thirteen data integrity errors (27%, 13/48)

- 7 NOT NULL errors, 6 UNIQUE KEY errors
- Such errors can potentially be prevented with static analysis, as described later!

The rest were correct (64%, 31/48)

CORRECT:

chatgpt-4o-latest claude-3-opus claude-3-sonnet claude-3 5-sonnet claude-3 5-sonnet-20240620 gemini-1.5-pro gemini-1.5-pro-001 gpt-4 gpt-4-turbo apt-40 apt-4o-2024-05-13 gpt-4o-2024-08-06 llama-3.1-405b-chat Ilama-3 2-11b-chat mistral-large awen-2-72b-instruct claude-3-haiku gemini-1.5-pro-002 gemma-2-27b-it gpt-3.5-turbo llama-3-70b-chat llama-3.1-70b-chat Ilama-3 1-8b-chat Ilama-3 2-90b-chat mistral-7b-instruct-v0.2 mistral-nemo mixtral-8x7b-instruct-v0.1 gwen-2.5-72b-instruct

error: ambiguous column name: name Ilama-3-8b-chat error: near "FOREIGN": syntax error llama-3.2-1b-chat error: no such column: gparents.mom ministral-3b error: no such column: m name mistral-small error: no such column: mom mixtral-8x22b-instruct-v0.1 error: no such column: p.mom gemini-1.0-pro-002 error: NOT NULL constraint failed: gparents.gparent_id command-r-plus error: NOT NULL constraint failed: parents.parent_id gemini-1.5-flash gemini-1.5-flash-001 gemini-1.5-flash-002 gemma-2-9b-it llama-3.1-nemotron-70b-chat Ilama-3 2-3b-chat error: UNIQUE constraint failed: ggparents.id gemini-1.0-pro error: UNIQUE constraint failed: gparents.id gemma-7b-it apt-4o-mini mistral-7b-instruct-v0.3 error: UNIQUE constraint failed: parents.id gemini-1.0-pro-001 ministral-8b

Preventing Errors with Static Checking, an example

name	mom
alice	barbara
brenda	claire
barbara	claire
claire	debbie
debbie	NULL

INSERT INTO parents (id, parent_id) SELECT mom, name FROM humans WHERE mom IS NOT NULL;

id	<u>parent_id</u>
barbara	alice
claire	brenda
claire /	barbara

Correct answer:

<u>id</u>	<pre>parent_id</pre>
alice	barbara
brenda	claire
barbara	claire
claire	debbie

Claim: this query does not guarantee the creation of a primary key of the humans table, and we can check this automatically, without data!

Burn Liners Save As Proofs	Out dialect	0	E dir an/Downloa	Timeout(s) 15	Mem(mb) 512	Example	0
a dislant DEFAULT 0	Out dialect		In dialect	DEFAULT		Out dislact	
OUTE SCOTTE LEGAL LE OUTE SCOTTE LEGAL LE MARKET SCOTTE LE	me);		Larget Schema, etc. (CREATE FABLE peo- lo int(11) FRIL CREATE FABLE par- CREATE FABLE par- parent_id int(1) prim- parent_id int(1) prim- parent	G. L. MAILE AND ALLER IA NAVY KEY ents (G. M. KEY rent, La) REFERENCES peo swy key, 131, 131, 131, REFERENCES peo greents (ary key, 131, 131, 131, 131, 131, 131, 131, 13	ple (id) ple (id), ple (id), ple (id), ple (id), ple (id),		
Open Save Save As		•	1:	Output			
dialect DEFAULT © Reverse?	Out dialect	LO + LEVEL	ad TYPE VALL		C	Save As	- 2
<pre>KERT IND geople (id) LECT name NRM hamas; KERT INTO parents (id, parent_id) ELECT non, name NEME mon IS NOT NULL; KERT INTO georents (id, parent_id, gparent_id) ELECT A.non, A.name, A2.name NRME B.nom IS NOT NULL and A.mon = B.name NGC J.nom IS NOT NULL and B.nom = A2.name; NEXET INTO geoparents (id, parent_id, gparent_id) RECT A.nom, A.name, A2.name</pre>	MITA MITA VALIDA VALIDA VALIDA VALIDA VALIDA VALIDA VALIDA VALIDA VALIDA	INFO INFO TE NFO TE RESULT TE RESULT	START_TMETTNU STOP_TIME Thu INTERED inset CQL type CHECK valid CHECK valid CHE	Jan 23 22 42 05 PST 2025 Tri kino people select X.more statisti Ty- literal (types loo atadd table people: unique table people: unique table people: unique table paople: unique laterd table gogarents: foreign laterd table gogarents: foreign laterd table gogarents: dis no laterd table gogarents: dis no laterd table people: di is not ble violation (25 timesouf) ta laterd table parents: dis no laterd table parents: dis no laterd table parents: dis no laterd table gogarents: dis no laterd table violation (55 timeoud) to	AS id from humans as X vi obean integer, 4 functions a key (a) key (a) and (a) references in key (parent, d) reference in key (paren	where true: insert into pa nd : boolean, boolean -> people (id) s people (id) ss people (id) ss people (id) ss people (id) (id) (id) (id)	rents bool

Compatible with





E CONEXUS ② Ⅲ Timeout - 01:00 + **Putting it all together** UNIQUE KEY gm_att_UNIQUE (gm_att) UNIQUE KEY tgm_att_UNIQUE (gm_att) possible violation (14s timeout)! Table THASTER: M_ATT 1_ CREATE TABLE subster (...... TRANSPORT FOR FOR FOR INSERT INTO teaster 😰 Result (14) 🛛 Error (0) 🔥 Warning (1) 👘 Information (58) Service Type Description VALIDATE CHECK MacBook

SQL Correctness Verifier

Problem: LLM-generated SQL code may be wrong: it may corrupt data integrity.

Analysis: Verifying correctness of SQL code by hand takes time, and verifying correctness of SQL code with LLMs introduces error. And testing only demonstrates absence of individual errors, not correctness.

Solution: Use Conexus to verify the correctness of SQL code with respect to data integrity using mathematical algorithms to get 100% confidence.

Benefit: LLM-generated SQL code can now be trusted to run, or fed back to the LLM to try again.

Bonus: This capability can be used with arbitrary SQL code, not just code generated from LLMs.

How the SQL validator works: good old fashioned symbolic Al

Examp	ole Pr	ompt	given to L	LM			
"Write S	QL co	de to po	pulate	from			
CREATE TABLE tgmaster (id int(11) PRIMARY KEY, gm_att varchar(45) NOT NULL, UNIQUE KEY (gm_att)); CREATE TABLE tmaster (id int(11) PRIMARY KEY, gmaster_id int(11) NOT NULL, m_att varchar(45) NOT NULL, FOREION KEY (gmaster_id) REFERENCES (smaster (id));			' KEY, gm_att));	CREATE TABLE sgm KEY, gm_att varchar(KEY (gm_att));	CREATE TABLE sgmaster (id int(11) PRIMARY KEY, gm_att varchar(45) NOT NULL, UNIQUE KEY (gm_att); CREATE TABLE smaster (id int(11) primary key, m_att varchar(45) NOT NULL, g_att_on_m		
			KEY, gmaster_id LL, FOREIGN KEY	CREATE TABLE sma m_att varchar(45) NO varchur(45) NOT NUL			
CREATE TABLE NOT NULL, d_a (master_id) REFI	tdetail (id int) tt varchar(45) ERENCES tm	11) PRIMARY KI NOT NULL, FO aster (id));	EY, master_id int(11) REIGN KEY	CREATE TABLE sdet master_id int(11) NOT NOT NULL];*	ail (id int(11) primary key, 'NULL, d_att varchar(45)		
	Exam	ple Re	sponse fro	om LLM	†		
	"insert into select g.id from sgma	tgmaster l as id, g.gm aster as g;	_att as gm_att				
	insert into select m.ic from sgma where gm	tmaster d as id, gm.id aster as gm, s .gm_att = m.	as gmaster_id, m.m smaster as m g_att_on_m;	_att as m_att	Individual		
	insert into select d.id from sgma where d.m	tdetail I as id, m.id a aster as gm, s naster_id = m	s master_id, d.d_att master as m, sdeta .id and gm.gm_att =	as d_att l as d m.g_att_on_m;"	correctness proofs / refutations of		
••	• Load		Output	Save As	data integrity		
WING MITA MITA MICAT MICAT MICAT MADA WADA WADA WADA WADA WADA WADA WADA	LAND, L	1795 VALUE VALUE ALCONFICTO O VALUE ALCONFICTO O STOP_TIME Friebo STOP_TIME Friebo NIGERO I inserie two NIGERO I inserie two NIGERO Validated OHECK validated OHECK validated OHECK validated OHECK validated OHECK validated OHECK validated OHECK validated OHECK validated OHECK validated	1 09-20-51 PM - 0223 1 09-20-50 PM - 0223 1 09-20-50 PM - 0223 1 09-20-50 PM - 0223 1 09-20-50 PM - 0233 1 09-20-50 1	all volt, symaater volt, umaater volt where "m. X. old AS of from signaturer as X where ref, at strong 45 functions. and : booteau, bit ref, at references symaater (AS) at 1. 1. 2. 3. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	(vr0		
	Examp "Write S OREATE TABLE varchar(45) NOT CREATE TABLE in(11) NOT NULL (gnaster_Jo) REF CREATE TABLE NOT NULL (gnaster_Jo) REF Value Val	Example Pr "Write SOL cool Overhar(45) NOT NULL UNCO CREATE TABLE typaster (d) in in(11) NOT NULL unco CREATE TABLE typaster (d) in in(11) NOT NULL unco CREATE TABLE total (d) inf NOT NULL d, att vare(d) (master_id) REFERENCES to NOT NULL d, att vare(d) (master_id) REFERENCES to insert into select this from sgma where dur insert into select did from sgma where dur Viewer ware vare ware ware vare ware ware vare ware ware vare ware ware vare ware vare vare ware ware vare ware ware vare ware vare ware ware ware vare ware ware ware ware vare ware ware ware ware vare ware ware ware ware ware vare ware ware ware ware ware ware ware w	Example Prompt "Write SQL code to pool Oreate TABLE transfer (d in(11) PRIMARY wardwar(46) NOT NULL, UNIQUE KEY (gm.att) CREATE TABLE transfer (d in(11) PRIMARY M In(11) NOT NULL, att varchar(46) NOT NUL (gmaster_Jd) REFERENCES (gmaster (d)): CREATE TABLE total (d in(11) PRIMARY M Not NULL, d, att varchar(46) NOT NULL, FO Insert into transfer select g.id as id, gm.dd from sgmaster as gr. insert into transfer select m.id as id, gm.dd from sgmaster as gr. where d.master as gr. d to gr. d	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>		

Co

LLM Wars Conclusions

Negation is still problematic for LLMs

- "Following instructions" still problematic for LLMs

Correctness needs to improve – 66% on a basic example!

Static analysis provides a way to do so

- We don't just think so, we know so!
- Now for a case study.

Read more at http://sql.ai

Fun fact:

Follow-up experiments on more complex examples led to much larger variance in LLM responses. More to come!

Help build community! Email ryan@conexus.com

PART 2

A fully automated case study

The DARPA BRASS IMMORTALS project (2017) sought to build long-lived, resource-aware, self-updating software using LLM precursors.

During drone flight, an "LLM" would create SQL code to update avionics.

This code needs to be checked by a computer within in seconds to be safe to run.

It is too expensive for the LLM to constantly generate new code, so the project also involved composing SQL code (part 3).

Conclusion: fully automated, computer-checked LLM code generation possible in real time, life and death situations.

Part 3

Given that LLM-generated SQL code requires work to verify, let's try to compose correct SQL code so we don't have to verify over and over.

API: 2 sets of SQL queries in, 1 set of SQL queries out



SQL Composition

Problem: To generate good SQL code, LLM prompts must be "chunked; split into pieces and the results re-assembled."

Analysis: Re-assembling the results by hand take time, and re-assembling the results by LLM introduces error.

Solution: Use Conexus to compose SQL code perfectly and automatically, without introducing biases, errors, or assumptions, using mathematical algorithms.

Benefit: LLM-generated SQL code can be used once chunking is done.

Bonus: This capability can be used with arbitrary SQL code, not just code generated from LLMs.

Example Fortune 50 Deployment



We want to mathematically migrate Query (old) to Query (new)

Four ways to compose SQL queries

The mathematics of category theory tells us that there are four ways to compose SQL queries. This lets us build virtual data fabrics/meshes.



© 2025 CONEXUS AI, INC. - CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT.

Source





select w.CUST_ID as col1, w.SUPP_ID as col2, sc.SADDR as col3, sc.SNATION as col4 from WEBORDER as w, SUPPCATALOG as sc where w.SUPP_ID = sc.SUPP_ID;



SQL TRANSLATION

Target





from WEBORDER as v11, MasterSupp as v3 where v3.supp_id = v11.SUPP_ID;

Conclusions

Commercial LLMs still not safe for unsupervised use

Negation and instruction following still problematic

Symbolic Al / computer algebra lets us check LLM-generated SQL code Deployed for US military drones

"Spray and Pray" is now a feasible "neuro-symbolic" Al use case We expect an explosion of domain-specific LLM-enabled schema mappers

Safe AI enabled by constraining problem space (to e.g. SQL integrity) We expect more validators too!

> We are looking for community engagement! Connect with Ryan at ryan@conexus.com

